Public



2021 Questionnaire for the April 2021 Insurance Capital Standard (ICS) Data Collection Exercise of the Monitoring Period Project

("the ICS Questionnaire")

The ICS Questionnaire has been developed as a supporting document for groups participating in the 2021 ICS Data Collection Exercise and must be read in conjunction with the associated ICS Technical Specifications, ICS data collection Template and Yield Curve documentation. All documents are provided for information only.

These documents do not replace or supersede the ICS Level 1 and Level 2 documents, which have been agreed and published by the IAIS.



Contents

1		Intro	oduction	.3	
	1.1	1	Preamble	.3	
	1.2	2	Confidentiality	.3	
	1.3	3	Instructions	.3	
2		Iden	ntification	.4	
3		Gen	eral Questions	.5	
4		Peri	meter of the ICS Calculation	.7	
5		Mar	ket-Adjusted Valuation	.9	
6		Сар	ital Requirement	13	
	6.′	1	Self-assessment template for natural catastrophe models	13	
	6.2	2	Non-insurance risk charges	17	
7		Add	itional Reporting	18	
	7.´	1	GAAP Plus	18	
		7.1.	1 Reconcilations	32	
	7.2	2	Internal Models	37	
8		Sup	plementary Information	41	
	8.′	1	Commercial Mortgages	41	
	8.2	2	Segmentation of Investments	44	
9		Self	-assessment Template for Internal Models	50	
	Pr	erec	uisite 1: Description of the scope of application of internal models	50	
	Pr	erec	quisite 2: Validation	52	
	Pr	erec	uisite 3: Sign-off of the Board of Directors of the IAIG	54	
	Pr	erec	quisite 4: Statistical quality test	54	
	Pr	erec	puisite 5: Calibration test	57	
	Pr	erec	puisite 6: Use test and governance	59	
	Pr	erec	uisite 7: Documentation standards	51	
	Pr	erec	uisite 8: Absence of cherry-picking (for partial models)	32	
	Prerequisite 9: The resulting ICS capital requirement more appropriately reflects the risk profile of the insurer (for partial models)63				
	Prerequisite 10: Explain how the partial internal model and standard method's results can be integrated (for partial models)				



1 Introduction

1.1 Preamble

This Questionnaire focuses on supplementary information in relation to data provided in the Template for the 2021 ICS Data Collection Exercise of the Monitoring Period Project, and is due **31 August 2021**.

1.2 Confidentiality

This Questionnaire forms part of the documentation for the Monitoring Period Project. For avoidance of doubt, responses to this Questionnaire that are received in line with the processes outlined in the 2021 ICS Data Collection Technical Specifications will benefit from the same level of confidentiality as the information submitted via the Template for the 2021 ICS Data Collection Exercise of the Monitoring Period Project.

1.3 Instructions

Please:

- Provide your answers ONLY in the spaces in the table provided for responses to each question.
- **DO NOT** alter the structure of this document (e.g. do not add additional rows or boxes for your answers, unless they are within the space already provided).
- **DO NOT** enter any information in blank spaces between questions or sections. The structure provided in this document is used to collate responses across Volunteer Groups. Any information entered outside this structure may result in that information being discarded during collation of the responses.



2 Identification

1 Please provide the name of your IAIG/Volunteer Group below			nteer Group below:
	IAIG / Volunteer G	oup name	
	Insert text		
2			this questionnaire (dd/mm/yyyy). If an earlier updated please indicate a new date here:
	Date of this submi	ssion	
	Insert text		
3	Please indicate the name of the conta Questionnaire, including email addres		ersons for queries about the responses to this I telephone number.
	Primary Contact	Information	
	Name:	Insert text	
	Email:	Insert text	
	Phone:	Insert text	
	Backup Contact		
	Name:	Insert text	
	Email:	Insert text	
	Phone:	Insert text	



3 General Questions

Template sheet(s) referred to in this section:	Various
Key Technical Specification section(s) relevant to this section	Various

4	Were any material assumptions or simplifications used when providing data on the reference ICS? Examples of assumptions or simplifications follow the questions.			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, complete the following question for each material assumption or simplification used. Please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact. In the description, also indicate whether you anticipate future reliance on the assumption or simplification or was it due to circumstances such as a resource constraint.			
	Item name	Reference	Description	
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text	
	Examples where • 8.2 Defer	red tax from the	y) simplifications may have been used: ICS Adjustments – Was difficulty encountered being able to offset m the ICS Adjustment where an assumption or simplification was	
5	between Years 1	and 2 of the Mor	in reporting data for sections that have remained unchanged nitoring Period (eg simplifications that were used during the first are no longer being used)?	
Provide your re YES If YES, please s Template, and p		NO NO vecify the item or vovide a description	g an 'x' in the relevant cell: Not Applicable issue, including a reference to the Technical Specifications or on as well as rationale for its relevance to analysis. with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	



	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)	
6	Do you have further com addressed elsewhere in t	0 0	ersion 2.0 for the monitoring period not specifically
		nt to the analysis (that is	data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations s, have the potential to have a material impact on I/or its analysis).
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the	relevant cell:
	YES	NO	ot Applicable
			ng a reference to the Technical Specifications or rationale for its relevance to analysis.
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



4 Perimeter of the ICS Calculation

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	GAAP and ICS Balance Sheets
Key Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	4. Reference ICS: Perimeter of the ICS Calculation

7	For the starting ICS balance sheet, did you encounter any difficulties splitting the insurance and non-insurance operations?			
	YES	ur response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	If YES, please provide a description of issues that were encountered. Amount Description			
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additio	nal rows as necessary)		
8	For the non-insurance portion of the ICS balance sheet, did you encounter any difficulties re-stating consolidated entities in your consolidated GAAP to a different basis of accounting (eg, joint ventures and joint operations)?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	If YES, pleas	se provide a description of issues that were encountered.		
	Description			
	Insert Insert text text			
	Add additional rows as necessary)			
9	Has an amount been reported in the worksheet GAAP and ICS Balance Sheets, table Balance sheets, Row (-) adjustments already included in other equity items?			



	Provide yo	ur respons	se by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES		NO Not Applicable
	-	-	e a description of what was included in the amount in sufficient hy the offset was necessary.
	Amount	Descripti	ion
	Insert text	Insert tex	xt
	(Add additio	nal rows a	as necessary)
10	Has an amount been reported in the Other line in the Equity section of the worksheet GAAP and ICS Balance Sheets?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
If YES, please provide additional information on the amount so that the IAIS can determine whether that amount should be included within ICS capital resources.			
			Description
	Insert text		
(Add additional rows as necessary)		as necessary)	



5 Market-Adjusted Valuation

MP Template Sheets referred to in this section:	GAAP and ICS Balance Sheets
Key MP Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	5. Reference ICS: Market- Adjusted Valuation

11	Are the Middle Bucket criteria appropriate?			
Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If NO, please describe in detail how and why the criteria should be changed. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.			
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
12 Regarding criterion d) for the Middle Bucket, is it more appropriate to calculate the cur of liabilities using the General Bucket yield curve rather than the risk-free yield curve?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
		ary why your preferred approach (General Bucket yield curve or Risk-free priate, including rationale as well as sufficient detail to allow an and direction of impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		



		F
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
13	Did you encounter any pra adjustment?	actical difficulties calculating the currency-specific Middle Bucket spread
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please provide a d	escription of the difficulties that were encountered.
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
14		adjustment is currency-specific. If the Middle Bucket spread adjustment a single currency, would this better reflect your ALM strategy?
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe ho appropriate.	ow the spread adjustment should be calculated and why it is more
	Please describe in summa direction of impact.	ary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text



	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
15	If the Middle Bucket spread adjustment could be calculated on a portfolio-specific basis within a single currency, do you expect that any practical difficulties would be experienced?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable		
		e difficulties you anticipate. Iry, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
16	Were either of the possible	e proxies for non-life premium liabilities applied?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please indicate which proxy was used and provide details on the difficulties faced in th calculation of non-life premium liabilities. Provide details on alternative proxies (including form which the IAIS could consider (references/ links to external sources are also welcome).		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



17	Was the IAIS base yiel which the IAIS published	d curve methodology applied to any additional currency beyond the 35 for ed data?
	Provide your respons	e by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	If YES, please describe Please describe in sun	e any difficulties experienced with the process. nmary. Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows a	s necessary)



6 Capital Requirement

6.1 Self-assessment template for natural catastrophe models

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	N/A
Key Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	7.2.4.7 Safeguards for Natural Catastrophe Models

18	Safeguard 1 – Description	of the scope of application
	The IAIG describes the pe	rimeter of the natural catastrophe model's calculation.
	Is Safeguard 1 met?	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe the	e scope of application of the natural catastrophe model.
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
19	Do you have any natural c	atastrophe models that were developed internally?
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe the	e scope of application of each CAT model.
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	Item name	Description and rationale



	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)
20	Safeguard 2 – Validation	
		at a rigorous process is in place by which they can establish whether their I framework is sound or whether improvements are needed.
	Provide your response b'	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, Please describe th	e validation process for the CAT model.
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
21	Safeguard 3 – Sign-off by	senior management
	_	of the IAIG has ownership of the natural catastrophe model, and the model on process prescribed by the natural catastrophe model governance
	Is Safeguard 3 met?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If NO, please explain whet	ther a change is planned and the expected timeline.
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text



	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
22	Safeguard 4 – Statistical c	juality test
	 catastrophe model: methodology and assu coverage of material n data (including externation of risks and consistency with the n allowance for risk mitig 	•
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
		NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe in model.	summary how statistical quality tests are used in the natural catastrophe
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
23	Safeguard 5 – Use test an	d governance
		AIG's view of its risks and is used in decision-making.
	Is Safeguard 5 met?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable



	-	n summary, how the use test is carried out, as well the model governance ferent stages of the model.
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
24	Safeguard 6 – Documenta	ation standards
	The documentation of the such as:	natural catastrophe model includes its use and other related aspects,
	• facilitates the supervis	cory review of the model;
	 facilitates Senior Mana recognises the weakn 	agement's understanding; and esses of the model.
	Is Safeguard 6 met?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe, ir	n summary, the documentation that is currently available.
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
25	Safeguard 7 – List of cata	strophe risk sources that are not modelled
		imitations in the scope of their natural catastrophe model. IAIGs make a risks specified in the ICS but not modelled, and explain why those risks
	Is Safeguard 7 met?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:



YES	NO Not Applicable
-	nose natural catastrophe risks that are not modelled, the reason those risks
not modelled, and a	in assessment of the impact.
Item name	Description and rationale
Insert text	Insert text
Insert text	Insert text
Insert text	Insert text

6.2 Non-insurance risk charges

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	ICS Non-Insurance & Baseline
Key Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	7.7 Non-Insurance Risk Charges

26			y method investments (eg, joint ventures and joint were there any reporting issues?
	Provide your response		relevant cell: ot Applicable
	If YES, please describe	the issue that you enco	untered.
	Item name	Reference	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	



7 Additional Reporting

7.1 GAAP Plus

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	ICS Summary.GAAP+
	ICS Balance Sheet.GAAP+
	Financial Instruments.GAAP+
	Tier 2 Non-Paid-Up
	ICS Insurance Risk Charges.G+
	ICS Non-Insurance & Baseline
	ICS Other Methods.GAAP+
Key Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9.1 GAAP Plus

27		unt been reported in the worksheet ICS Balance Sheet.GAAP+, table eets, Row (-) adjustments already included in other equity items?
	If YES, pleas	ur response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable se provide a description of what was included in the amount in sufficient erstand why the offset was necessary.
	Amount Insert text	Description Insert text
	(Add additio	nal rows as necessary)
28	Has an amount been reported in the <i>Other</i> line in the <i>Equity</i> section of the worksheet <i>ICS Balance Sheet.GAAP</i> +?	
	Provide you	ur response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:



					on the amount so that the IAIS can
	determine whethe	er that a	amount should b	oe ind	cluded within ICS capital resources.
	Amount		escription		
	Insert text				
	(Add additional ro	ows as	necessary)		
29		nts (GA	AP) and GAAP	Plus	tween reported general purpose audited in <i>ICS Balance Sheet</i> .GAAP+ (table from GAAP)?
	Provide your rea	sponse	by placing an 'x	' in t	he relevant cell:
	YES		NO		Not Applicable
	Plus. Reclassifications changes in balan	may in ce shee	clude, for examp et presentation,	ole, a nettii	made between reported GAAP and GAAP any amounts that are adjusted due to ng or grossing of balances, differences in rent consolidation methods or rules.
	Item name		Description on		
			Description and	d rati	onale
	Insert text		Insert text	d rati	onale
	Insert text		•	d rati	onale
	Insert text		•	d rati	onale
	Insert text (Add additional re	ows as a	Insert text	d rati	onale
30	(Add additional ro	that th	Insert text necessary) e reference ICS	and	GAAP Plus are materially the same (and Plus balance sheet with explicit
30	<i>(Add additional ro</i> <i>Did you conclude</i> hence you did no	that th t prepa	Insert text necessary) e reference ICS re a separate G	and	GAAP Plus are materially the same (and Plus balance sheet with explicit



	If YES, please describe the analyses performed to determine that the balances under GAAP Plus did not materially differ from the reference ICS, and specify the key factors in support of that determination. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.	
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
31	Were any GAAP Plus spec calculating the GAAP Plus	cifications not followed or simplifying assumptions made in Balance Sheet?
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please describe, for each GAAP Plus specification not followed and each simplifying assumption made, the impact of using such alternative treatment (from the specifications, or simplification) particularly if this may not be justifiable using the proportionality principle. Please provide your rationale for the approach taken. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.	
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
32	U.S. GAAP Plus – Were there any difficulties encountered when applying US GAAP Plus?	



	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	If YES, please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact. Please list in order of difficulty.		
	Item Reference Response		
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
33	IFRS GAAP Plus – Wer	e balances reported under the IFRS GAAP Plus approach?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable		
	 If NO: Explain what prevented you from reporting the data this year. Would these issues or limitations be resolved before next year? If not, when would you expect them to be resolved? Are there any simplifying assumptions or practical expedients that could be applied in future Field Testing that would address these issues or limitations? 		
	Question Reference	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



34	IFRS GAAP Plus – If you are an IFRS reporter (regardless of whether you reported under IFRS GAAP Plus for this year's Field Testing), please review the technical specifications presenting the IFRS GAAP Plus approach.		
	Do you foresee any difficulties that you could encounter if you were to apply this approach to create an IFRS GAAP Plus balance sheet?		
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please provide details of any anticipated difficulties in applying this approach ar any solutions that you can propose.		
	Difficulty identified	Explanation and proposed solution	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
35	IFRS GAAP Plus – Were any simplifying assumptions or practical expedients applied in the reporting of balances under IFRS GAAP Plus for Field Testing?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	 If YES: 1. Please describe the method used to estimate balances in accordance with IFR 17. 2. Please disclose any other information that would assist in the analysis of suc figures. 		
	Question Reference	Response	
	Insert text Insert text		
	1.		



	2.		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
36	IFRS GAAP Plus (Use of solvency reporting) – Were figures from solvency reporting adapted to approximate IFRS 17 figures for the purpose of GAAP Plus reporting?		
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	 If YES: Please describe, for each adaptation made, the impact of using such alternative treatment (from the specifications) particularly if this may not be justifiable using the proportionality principle. Please summarise, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact, your rationale for the approach taken. Please provide details of any expected sources of material difference between IFRS 17 and solvency reporting that have not been adjusted. 		
	Item Reference	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	
1.			
	2.		
	3.		
	If NO, please provide details of any expected sources of material difference between IFRS 17 and solvency reporting that have not been adjusted.		
37	significant differences in	Liabilities) – Were there, or do you expect there to be, the way that future cash flows are estimated between IFRS RS 17) and the reference ICS?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		



	YES	NO Not Applicable
	 If YES, please describe the driver(s) of these differences and if possible a sense of the materiality for each impacted product segment. Examples may include: Contract Boundaries Overhead expenses Level of aggregation used to estimate fulfilment cash flows 	
Item Reference Response		Response
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)
38	IFRS GAAP Plus (Non-Life Liability for Remaining Coverage) – Were there, or do you expect there to be, significant differences in the way that future non-life liability for remaining coverage cash flows are estimated between IFRS GAAP Plus (applying IFRS 17) and the reference ICS?	
	Please indicate in your response whether (or not) you are using the premium allocation approach.	
	Provide your response YES	e by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	If YES, please describe the driver(s) of these differences and, if possible, a sense of the materiality for each impacted product segment. Examples might include:	
	 Discounting Contract boundaries Overhead expenses Level of aggregation used to estimate fulfilment cash flows 	
	Item Reference	Response
	Insert text	Insert text



	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
39	IFRS GAAP Plus (Non-Life Claims) – Were there, or do you expect there to be, significant differences in the way future non-life claim cash flows are estimated between IFRS GAAP Plus (applying IFRS17) and the reference ICS?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe the driver(s) of these differences and if possible a sense of the materiality for each impacted product segment. Examples might include: Discounting Contract boundaries Overhead expenses Level of aggregation used to estimate fulfilment cash flows 		
	Item Reference	Response	
Insert text Insert text		Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
40	IFRS GAAP Plus – Did you develop or are you developing a methodology for calculating a discount rate or curve for purposes of implementing IFRS GAAP Plus? Does this differ from your currently expected approach under IFRS17?		



 will be applied and how they might differ. Did you, or will you, apply a top-down or bottom-up method to develop a disc rate/curve? If top-down, describe how the yield curve that reflects the current market rate return implicit in the fair value measurement of a reference portfolio of assets or may be determined, as well as other relevant considerations requiring judgr (e.g. what was or may be used as the reference portfolio, how a risk premium expected default were or may be calculated and deducted). If bottom-up, describe relevant considerations requiring judgement such as he liquidity premium were or may be calculated and how the risk-free rate/curve or may be, constructed. What was considered to be the observable period (last liquid point)? Did you, or do you intend to, use a spot rate or a forward rate for the ultimate r How is that rate determined? When does it begin to apply? Describe the technique that was, or will be used, if any, to extrapolate between the set of the set of the set of the technique that was, or will be used, if any, to extrapolate between the set of the technique that was, or will be used. 	YES	NO Not Applicable
will be applied and how they might differ. 2. Did you, or will you, apply a top-down or bottom-up method to develop a disc rate/curve? 3. If top-down, describe how the yield curve that reflects the current market rate return implicit in the fair value measurement of a reference portfolio of assets or may be determined, as well as other relevant considerations requiring judgr (e.g. what was or may be used as the reference portfolio, how a risk premium expected default were or may be calculated and deducted). 4. If bottom-up, describe relevant considerations requiring judgement such as he liquidity premium were or may be calculated and how the risk-free rate/curve or may be, constructed. 5. What was considered to be the observable period (last liquid point)? 6. Did you, or do you intend to, use a spot rate or a forward rate for the ultimate reter How is that rate determined? 7. When does it begin to apply? 8. Describe the technique that was, or will be used, if any, to extrapolate betwee the last liquid point and the ultimate rate. How did or how might the discount or construction differ by currency? 9. Describe any other adjustments that were, or may be, made. Question Reference Response Insert text Insert text 1. 2. (Add additional rows as necessary) If NO, please provide a discussion of what elements are being considered and the	If YES, please describe	your current thinking on this methodology including:
Insert text Insert text 1. 2. (Add additional rows as necessary) If NO, please provide a discussion of what elements are being considered and the	 Did you, or will you, apply a top-down or bottom-up method to develop a discourate/curve? If top-down, describe how the yield curve that reflects the current market rates return implicit in the fair value measurement of a reference portfolio of assets w or may be determined, as well as other relevant considerations requiring judgme (e.g. what was or may be used as the reference portfolio, how a risk premium a expected default were or may be calculated and deducted). If bottom-up, describe relevant considerations requiring judgment such as how liquidity premium were or may be calculated and how the risk-free rate/curve was or may be, constructed. What was considered to be the observable period (last liquid point)? Did you, or do you intend to, use a spot rate or a forward rate for the ultimate rat How is that rate determined? When does it begin to apply? Describe the technique that was, or will be used, if any, to extrapolate betwe the last liquid point and the ultimate rate. How did or how might the discount cur construction differ by currency? 	
1. 2. (Add additional rows as necessary) If NO, please provide a discussion of what elements are being considered and the		
2. (Add additional rows as necessary) If NO, please provide a discussion of what elements are being considered and the	9. Describe any of	ther adjustments that were, or may be, made.
(Add additional rows as necessary) If NO, please provide a discussion of what elements are being considered and the	9. Describe any of Question Reference	ther adjustments that were, or may be, made. Response
If NO, please provide a discussion of what elements are being considered and the	 9. Describe any of Question Reference Insert text 	ther adjustments that were, or may be, made. Response
	 9. Describe any of Question Reference Insert text 1. 	ther adjustments that were, or may be, made. Response
	 9. Describe any of Question Reference Insert text 1. 2. 	ther adjustments that were, or may be, made. Response Insert text
	 9. Describe any of Question Reference Insert text 1. 2. (Add additional rows and other additional rows and other additional rows and other additional rows and additional rows additional rows additional rows and additional rows additionadditional rows additional rows additionadditiona	ther adjustments that were, or may be, made. Response Insert text s necessary) discussion of what elements are being considered and the
	 9. Describe any of Question Reference Insert text 1. 2. (Add additional rows and other additional rows and other additional rows and other additional rows and additional rows additional rows additional rows and additional rows additionadditional rows additional rows additionadditiona	ther adjustments that were, or may be, made. Response Insert text s necessary) discussion of what elements are being considered and the



41	IFRS GAAP Plus – Have you developed, or are you developing a methodology for calculating a risk adjustment for purposes of implementing IFRS 17?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	 If YES, please describe your methodology including: What method was or may be used (e.g. VaR, TVaR, Cost of Capital) and what is the rationale for choosing this method? What time period was or may be used and what is the rationale for choosing that time period? What risks were or may be captured in your non-financial risks? What level of aggregation was or may be used? How was or will reinsurance be applied or addressed? Did you, or do you intend to, incorporate a diversification effect, if so, please describe. How did or will you determine your confidence level to develop a risk adjustment and what was the level? How did or would the risk adjustment differ from the MOCE defined in the reference ICS? For those products for which you used, or intend to use, the Premium Allocation Approach, describe how/if the risk adjustment would be calculated. If applicable, how does or might the risk adjustment differ from the risk margin in the regime in your jurisdiction (eg Solvency II)? 	
	Question Reference	Response
	Insert text	Insert text
	1.	
	2.	
	(Add additional rows as	s necessary)
42	IFRS GAAP Plus – Have you developed a policy for determining portfolio allocation for purposes of implementing IFRS 17?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO If YES, Please describe this methodology including: 1. Is or will the portfolio allocation under IFRS 17 be more or less granular than the ICS	
		lescribe any material differences.



		io allocation under IFRS 17 be different from what you have jurisdiction's solvency regime? Please describe.
	Question Reference	Response
	Insert text	Insert text
	1.	
	2.	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)
	If NO, please provide a c for concluding on assign	liscussion of what you are currently considering and the timeline ing/defining portfolios.
43	IFRS GAAP Plus – Did (PAA) to any products?	you or do you intend to apply the Premium Allocation Approach
	If you intend to apply the PAA to any life products, please indicate this separately.	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please indicate what portion of liabilities were reported on an undiscounted bas on the GAAP Plus Balance Sheet.	
	Item name	Reported amount
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)
44	IFRS GAAP Plus – Did you or will you apply the PAA to any contracts with a coverage period greater than one year?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	



	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe the products and the rationale for applying the PAA.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
45	IFRS GAAP Plus – Hav and IFRS 9?	e you developed a timeline for the implementation of IFRS 17	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES:		
	 If you have not submitted an IFRS GAAP Plus balance sheet for Field Testing, do that timeline allow for preparation of a balance sheet reflecting each of IFRS 17 a IFRS 9, perhaps with some simplifying assumptions, for the Field Testing of GAA Plus next year? Can you provide any additional commentary that would explain possible limitations providing a balance sheet reflecting IFRS 17 and IFRS 9 for next year? 		
	Question Reference	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		
	2.		
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
		e issues that would prevent you from submitting a balance sheet g rules for next year's data collection.	



46	China GAAP Plus – Dic approach?	you have any difficulties in applying the China GAAP Plus	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES:1. Can you explain the reason for the difficulty?2. Do you have any suggestions that would help to resolve the difficulty or clarify the technical specifications?		
	Question Reference	Response	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		
	2.		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
47	AOCI adjustment- Did	you have any difficulties in applying the AOCI adjustment?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO NO Not Applicable		
	 If YES, can you explain the reason for the difficulty? Do you have any suggestions that would help to resolve the difficulty or clarify the technical specifications? 		
	Question Reference	Response	



	Insert text	Insert text	
	1.		
	2.		
	(Add additional rows a	s necessary)	
	If NO, please explain why this segregation was not performed.		
48	Do you have any sugg Specifications?	ested improvements or refinements to the GAAP Plus Technical	
	Provide your respons	se by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please specify the improvement and the rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows a	s necessary)	
49	Do you have further co	omments regarding this section?	
	calculations that you c	s includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or onsider relevant to the Field Testing analysis (that is, have the terial impact on any conclusions reached based on the data	
	Provide your respons	se by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	1		



	YES		NO		Not Applicable
If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the Field Testing analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
Item	n name		Reference		Description and rationale
Inse	ert text		Insert text		
1					

7.1.1 Reconcilations

Reconciliations: Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	ICS Balance Sheet.GAAP+
Key Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9.1 GAAP Plus

50	Reconciliation of life insurance liabilities GAAP Plus to MAV includes two user- defined columns to report any material adjustments required to move from GAAP Plus to MAV excluding changes to contract boundaries, cash flow projections and discounting. Were these columns utilised?			
	Provide your respon	se by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please provide a description for the adjustment amounts reported in each column.			
	Item name Description and rationale			
Insert text Insert text				



51	labelled other to repor	as necessary) Insurance liabilities GAAP Plus to MAV includes a column t any additional adjustments required to move from GAAP Plus nges explicitly reported in previous columns. Was this column			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO Not Applicable If YES, please provide a description for the amounts reported.				
	Item name Insert text	Description and rationale Insert text			
52	 (Add additional rows as necessary) Do you have further comments regarding this section? Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis). 				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.				



Item name	Reference	Description and rationale		
Insert text	Insert text			
(Add additional rows as necessary)				

Reconciliations: Non-Life Insurance Liabilities - GAAP Plus to MAV

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	ICS Balance Sheet.GAAP+
Key Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9.1 GAAP Plus

53	Did you report any amount in the Other columns for the premium liability reconciliations?					
	Provide your re	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES	NO	Not Applicable			
	provide the ratio	If YES, please describe all the amounts reported as part of <i>Other</i> in each table, provide the rationale supporting your adjustments, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments.				
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality (Please express the amounts as a % of Total Assets) and direction of impact.					
	Table Item name Description and rationale					
	GAAP to MAV Insert text Insert text					
	GAAP to GAAP Plus					
	GAAP Plus to MAV					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
54	Do you have any	Do you have any other comments on the premium liability reconciliations?				
	Where appropriate, this includes comment on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculation that you provide which you consider relevant to the analysis (that is, have					



	the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	If YES, please specify the item or issue and discuss it and the rationale for its relevance to the analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
	Item name Description and rationale					
	Insert text Insert text					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					
55	Did you report any amounts in the Other columns for the claim liability reconciliation?					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please describe all the amounts reported as part of <i>Other</i> in each table, provide the rationale supporting your adjustments, and indicate the impact of adopting your adjustments. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality (Please express the amounts as a % of Total Assets) and direction of impact.					
	Table Item name Description and rationale					
	GAAP to GAAP Plus In	sert text	Insert text			
	GAAP Plus to MAV					
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					



1						
56	Do you have further comments regarding this section?					
	Where appropriate, this includes comments on data, additional relevant data, and/or calculations that you consider relevant to the analysis (that is, have the potential to have a material impact on any conclusions reached based on the data and/or its analysis).					
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:					
	YES NO Not Applicable					
	If YES, please specify the item or issue, including a reference to the Technical Specifications or Template, and provide a description as well as rationale for its relevance to the analysis. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and potential impact.					
	Item name Reference Description and rationale					
	Insert text	Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)					



7.2 Internal Models

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	ICS Other Methods
Key MP Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	9.2.1 Internal Models

The purpose of this section is to enable IAIGs to provide supplementary qualitative information (with respect to the quantitative data submission) to support the assessment of the appropriateness of the use of internal models for the reference ICS. This will facilitate a better understanding of:

- a. The reasons for differences in outcomes between the ICS standard method and risk charges determined by internal models at the same calibration level; and
- b. The nature and scope of application of internal models used by IAIGs

57	Do any of the risk charges calculated using a VaR 99.5% over a one-year time horizon (in 9.2 Other methods) differ materially from the equivalent ICS risk charges determined according to the ICS standard method?	
		y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please quantify and outline the key drivers of any material differences for each risk. Please outline any material differences in your definition of each risk compared to that used by the IAIS. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.	
	Risk	Key drivers of differences between IAIG's reported capital amounts for regulatory purposes and ICS standard method risk charges. Please also include outline of any material differences in the definitions of risks.
	Mortality risk	Insert text
	Longevity risk	
	Morbidity/Disability risk	
	Lapse risk	
	Expense risk	



Premium risk	
Claims reserve risk	
Catastrophe risk	
Interest Rate risk	
Non-default Spread risk	
Equity risk	
Real Estate risk	
Currency risk	
Asset Concentration risk	
Credit risk	
Operational risk	
(Add additional rows as necessary)	
58 Did you report other risks not captured by the ICS?	
Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
YES NO Not Applicable	
If YES, please describe those risks and the materiality of each risk if more than one risk is reported in that cell. Please provide the rationale for modelling these risks.	
Risk name Description and rationale	
Insert text Insert text	
(Add additional rows as necessary)	



59	Does the ICS standard method cover risks that are not included in your internal economic capital model(s)?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell: YES NO If YES, please explain why those risks are not explicitly modelled, and how this is addressed. Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Risk name	Description and rationale of why the risk is not modelled	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
60	For the actual internal model results where the risk measure and/or confidence interval differ from 99.5% VaR over a one-year time horizon, please detail the reasons why a different target calibration is used and outline any adjustments used when providing data at 99.5% VaR over a one-year time horizon.		
	Please describe in summary, but with sufficient detail to allow an assessment of materiality and direction of impact.		
	Item where different from ICS calibration	Reasons and adjustments when providing data using same calibration as ICS	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)	
61	Where you have indicated the results come from a model whose use has been approved by a supervisory authority, please provide details and scope of the approval – has the approval been granted by the group-wide supervisor, a single legal entity supervisor or a supervisory college?		



	Model approved	Details of the approval
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
62		ication benefits in worksheet <i>9.2 Other methods</i> and demonstrate that it d to provide a short description of the dependency modelling.
	Difference	Description of difference
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
63	Considering the risk profile of your group, is there any area for which the ICS standard method does not appropriately capture the risk profile of your group and for which an internal economic capital modelling approach would be more appropriate?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe why an internal economic capital modelling approach would capture your risk profile more appropriately in the context of a consistent assessment of risk needed for the ICS.	
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as necessary)	



8 Supplementary Information

8.1 Commercial Mortgages

Template Sheets referred to in this section:	Commercial Mortgages
Key MP Technical Specification sections relevant to this section	10.5 Commercial Mortgages

With a view to potentially refine the ICS calibration of Credit risk factors for commercial mortgages, the IAIS is seeking to continue its collection of information on the commercial mortgage loan portfolios of IAIGs

64	Portfolio Performance: By Cohort Level – Annual Defaults
	For average LTV, please clarify how LTV is measured (eg on the basis of LTV at underwriting or a recent property/collateral appraisal, etc.)
	Description
	Insert text
65	Portfolio Performance: By Cohort Level – Annual Defaults
	For average DSCR, please clarify whether DSCR is at loan underwriting or the reported DSCR.
	Description
	Insert text
66	Portfolio Performance: By Cohort Level – Annual Defaults
	Please describe how LGD is measured, and indicate whether the average is weighted by loan or by exposure.
	Description
	Insert text
67	Portfolio Performance: By Cohort Level – Annual Defaults
	Please describe how default is measured.



	Description	
	Insert text	
68	Location of risk: Please elaborate on any risk-sharing arrangements in place.	
	Description	
	Insert text	
69	 Business model: Please describe your business model, sharing insight on the following: Underwriting practices Loan objectives Risk mitigation measures Other features 	
	Item	Description
	Underwriting practices	Insert text
	Loan objectives	Insert text
	Risk mitigation measures	Insert text
	Other features	Insert text
70	Transparency of data inputs: As the IAIS looks to the monitoring period and further refinement of the ICS, it is necessary that any data underlying ICS risk calibrations be readily available for review and analysis.	
	Please indicate whether the data underlying your commercial mortgage loan portfolio would be accessible to the IAIS and whether it can be included in aggregate anonymised results that are publicly disclosed in future IAIS papers, describing the calibration methodologies employed within the ICS.	
	Would the underlying data be accessible to the IAIS?	



	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If NO, please explain.		
	Explanation		
	Insert text		
71	Can the underlying data be included in aggregate anonymised results that are publicly disclosed in future IAIS papers?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable		
	If NO, please explain.		
	Explanation		
	Insert text		



8.2 Segmentation of Investments

Template sheet(s) referred to in this section:	Inf.Summary
	Inf.Infrastructure
	Inf.Loans and bonds
	Inf.Strategic equity
Key Technical Specification section(s) relevant to this section	10.6 Segmentation of Investments

72	Do investments in infrastructure currently play a role in your investment strategy and asset allocation?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please provide details of your investment strategy with respect to infrastructure assets.		
	Item name Desc	cription	
	Insert text Insert	t text	
	(Add additional rows as	necessary)	
73	Do you foresee any cha	ange in the role infrastructure investments play in your investment strategy?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please specify how that role could change, and the reasons why you foresee such change.		
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		
74	In the absence of any specific capital treatment for infrastructure investments in prudential solvency regimes, would you nevertheless consider this asset class in your investment strategy?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable	



	Please describe the key factors driving your consideration.	
	Item name	Description
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as i	necessary)
75	When investing in infrastructure, do you have any preference with respect to the type of underlying instruments (eg equity, loan, bond, fund, securitisation, etc)?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please provide th	ne rationale for your preference.
	Item name	Description and rationale
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as I	necessary)
76	Have you encountered any challenges and issues in investing in infrastructure?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please provide de	etails / examples.
	Item name	Description
	Insert text	Insert text
	Add additional rows as I	necessary)
77	Are there factors that are hindering or preventing you from making investments in infrastructure that you would otherwise look to make?	
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please provide de	etails / examples.
	Item name	Description



	Insert text	Insert text			
	Add additional rows as I	onal rows as necessary)			
78	Do you have internal limits and/or restrictions on investment in infrastructure?				
		by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please provide de	etails and/or examples (including the measures and numerical values us	ed).		
	Item name	Description			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as I	necessary)			
79	Are you using an internation other purposes?	I model, either for regulatory, ALM, capital allocation, embedded value or	r		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If YES, please describe are the assumptions underlying the risk modelling for infrastructure, and the capital charges implied. Please also describe how the assumptions were established.				
	Item name Description and rationale				
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as I	necessary)			
80	Do you consider that the proposed segmentation of infrastructure investments is risk-relevant and easy to implement?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If NO, please elaborate on the aspects you think are not risk-relevant or easy to implement.				
	Item name	Description and rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				



81	Have you identified / developed methodologies you could use to demonstrate the lower volatility of your infrastructure investments?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe t	hose methodologies in sufficient detail.		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	Add additional rows as r	necessary)		
82	-	structure investments for which it was not possible to demonstrate a lower teria intended to ensure the predictability of cash flows were met?		
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe t demonstrate a lower vola	he investments at stake, as well as the reasons why it was not possible to atility.		
	Item name	Description and rationale		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as necessary)			
83	Are you formally committ	ed to hold part or all of your infrastructure investments to maturity?		
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe how this commitment is materialised (for instance, through a long-term investment management policy).			
	Item name	Description		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as r	necessary)		
84	Have you identified / developed methodologies to demonstrate that you are actually able to hold your infrastructure investment to maturity?			



Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
YES NO Not Applicable			
If YES, please describe those methodologies in sufficient detail.			
Item name Description and rationale			
Insert text Insert text			
(Add additional rows as necessary)			
85 When the revenues of an infrastructure corporate are not diversified, do you consider the exception other than rate of return, such as take-or-pay contract or availability based re			
should be considered?			
Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
YES NO Not Applicable			
If YES, please provide some rationale as well as the estimated impact in terms of eligib infrastructure corporate.	le amount of		
Item name Rationale and estimated impact			
Insert text Insert text			
(Add additional rows as necessary)			
86 Did you have any difficulty interpreting the definitions provided for infrastructure investm	nents?		
Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
YES NO Not Applicable			
If YES, please describe those difficulties with sufficient detail.			
Item name Description			
Insert text Insert text			
(Add additional rows as necessary)			
Have you encountered any difficulties in classifying your investment in infrastructure projects as "greenfield" and "brownfield"?			
	ojects as		



	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe t	those difficulties with sufficient detail.	
	Item name	Description	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	Add additional rows as i	necessary)	
88	Have you identified / dev your strategic equity inve	veloped methodologies you could use to demonstrate the lower volatility of estments?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe t	those methodologies in sufficient detail.	
	Item name	Description and rationale	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as I	necessary)	
89	Did you experience any of supplementary data colle	other data challenge or issue when filling in the Template for this ection?	
	Provide your response	by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:	
	YES	NO Not Applicable	
	If YES, please describe those difficulties with sufficient detail.		
	Item name	Description	
	Insert text	Insert text	
	(Add additional rows as necessary)		



9 Self-assessment Template for Internal Models

IAIGs participating in the additional reporting for internal models should complete and submit the self-assessment template, which assesses the degree of compliance with the list of prerequisites.

The scope of application of internal models is limited to the calculation of the ICS capital requirement and not to other areas of the ICS such as capital resources or valuation (eg MAV). Therefore, the development of internal models is meant to introduce only an alternative calculation of the ICS capital requirement, while the valuation of the assets and liabilities of the IAIG would continue to be calculated according to the methods set out by the IAIS. However, the IAIS expects convergence between the valuation basis embedded in the internal model and the valuation basis for the ICS during the monitoring period.

Prerequisite 1: Description of the scope of application of internal models

IM1	Prerequisite 1 – Description of the scope of application of internal models				
	IAIGs must describe the scope of application of their internal model (ie the perimeter of the internal model's calculation).				
	Is Prerequisite 1 met?				
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If Yes, please describe the	e scope of application of the internal model.			
	Description item	Description rationale			
	Insert text	Insert text			
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				
IM2	If Prerequisite 1 is met, two possible approaches are considered for the additional reporting of internal model results during the monitoring period. Do you use a full internal model?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If No, please describe which type of partial internal model you use.				



Partial model type Description				
Partial – One or more risk charges of the ICS standard method capital requirement	Insert text if this partial model type is used			
Partial – One or more sub-risk charges of the ICS standard method capital requirement	Insert text if this partial model type is used			
Partial – One or more risk charges or sub-risk charges not captured by the ICS standard method capital requirement	Insert text if this partial model type is used			
Partial –Only one or more major business units or legal entities	Insert text if this partial model type is used			
Other model structure	Insert text if this partial model type is used			
(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)			
Do you use the partial internal model for calculation of risk charges in any of the below mentioner risk categories?				
Provide your response by	<i>r</i> placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
YES I	NO Not Applicable			
If YES, please describe in summary, how the internal model is used to calculate risk charges in below mentioned risk categories.				
Risk category	Description of the usage			
Mortality risk				



Morbidity/Disability risk	
Lapse risk	
Expense risk	
Premium risk	
Claims reserve risk	
Catastrophe risk	
Interest Rate risk	
Non-default Spread risk	
Equity risk	
Real Estate risk	
Currency risk	
Asset Concentration risk	
Credit risk	
Operational risk	

Prerequisite 2: Validation

IM4 Prerequisite 2: Validation

Describe the validation process detailing the process and the scope at different stages, segment of the model, detailing the departments involved and the periodicity of the validation and the tools used (eg benchmarking).

Explain how the validation process ensures that the model remains fit for capital purposes in changing circumstances against the criteria of the statistical quality test, calibration test and use test.

Demonstrate that the model has been independently validated (externally or internally) from those who develop, change, update, run and use the model.

Is Prerequisite 2 met?

Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:



	YES	N	10		Not Applic	cable	
	If Yes, please describe the validation process of the internal model.						
	Description item		Description rationale				
	Insert text		Insert text				
	(Add additional row	s as neo	cessary))			
IM5	If Prerequisite 2 is r	net, plea	ase, prov	vide detai	ls of the va	lidation process in the	e table below.
	Item	Is the validat policy formal in the followi areas	tion c lised ing	s the valio done?	dation	What is the periodicity of the validation? (Monthly/ Quarterly/ Biannually/ Annually/ Ad hoc/ Other)	Description of the scope of the validation
	Development	Yes/N	o I	nternally/l	Externally		
	Parameterisation	Yes/No		nternally/l	Externally		
	Results	Yes/N	o I	nternally/l	Externally		
	Model change	Yes/N	o I	nternally/l	Externally		
	Expert judgement	Yes/N	o l	nternally/l	Externally		
	Auditing	Yes/N	o I	nternally/l	Externally		
	(Add additional row	s as neo	cessary)				
IM6	If Prerequisite 2 is r findings, if any.	net, plea	ase prov	vide in the	table below	w information on the o	outstanding validation



Validation finding	Description of the finding
Insert text	Insert text
	-

Prerequisite 3: Sign-off of the Board of Directors of the IAIG

IM7	Prerequisite 3: Sign-off of the Board of Directors of the IAIG				
	This prerequisite aims to ensure that there is ownership of the internal model by the Board of Directors and that the model is compliant with the validation process inscribed in the governance of the internal model. Moreover, ICP 17 recommends a certain level of engagement by the Board of Directors concerning the internal models as part of the use test. Is Prerequisite 3 met?				
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:				
	YES NO Not Applicable				
	If NO, please explain whether a change is planned, and the expected timeline.				
	Comment name	Comment description			
	Insert text Insert text				
	(Add additional rows as necessary)				

Prerequisite 4: Statistical quality test

 IM8
 Prerequisite 4: Statistical quality test

 Building on ICP 17.4 IAIGs need:
 "... to conduct a 'statistical quality test' which assesses the base quantitative methodology of the internal model, to demonstrate the appropriateness of this methodology, including the choice of



	model inputs and parameters, and to justify the assumptions underlying the model"; and provide evidence			
	" that the determination of the regulatory capital requirement using an internal model addresses the overall risk position of the insurer and that the underlying data used in the model is accurate and complete".			
	Is Prerequisite 4 met?			
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	If YES, please describe in	summary on how statistical quality tests are used in the internal model.		
	Statistical quality test	Description of the test		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
IM9	Do you use expert judgem	ent in the statistical quality tests?		
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	Please describe in summa	rry the areas where expert judgement is predominantly used.		
	Model area	How the expert judgement is used		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
IM10	Do you use external data in the statistical quality tests?			
	Provide your response by placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:			



	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	Please describe in summary which external data is predominantly used for which areas. Eg Interest rate data for interest rate risk, claims data for benchmarking, probabilities of default for credit risk, etc.			
	Data used	Part of the model where it is applied		
	Insert text	Insert text		
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
IM11	Do you use an external/ve	ndor model in the statistical quality tests?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES NO Not Applicable			
	Please describe in summary the areas /risk categories where an external/ vendor model is predominantly used.			
	Risk category	Details of the external/vendor model and how it is integrated into the internal model		
	Cat model	Insert text		
	Economic Scenario Generator			
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)		
IM12	Were there any major mod	del changes in the previous 5 years?		
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:		
	YES	NO Not Applicable		
	Please describe in summa	ary the model changes in the previous 5 years.		



	Model change	Description of the change and process related to the change
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)
IM13	Do you use statistical meth	nods (deterministic or stochastic) to aggregate risks?
	Provide your response by	/ placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES 1	NO Not Applicable
	possible, quantify these eff	are aggregated, the steps where diversification effects are used and, if fects. Please give a justification why they are adequate. The answer ndencies are explicitly modelled and whether scenarios are used.
	Aggregation / diversification	Description
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)

Prerequisite 5: Calibration test

IM14	Prerequisite 5: Calibration test
	Building on ICP 17.15 the IAIG should " conduct a 'calibration test' to demonstrate that the regulatory capital requirement determined by the internal model satisfies the specified modelling criteria".
	Does the existing model have a specific calibration test?



	Ι	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe in	summary, but with sufficient detail how you calculate the calibration test.
	Calibration test	Description of the test
	Insert text	Insert text
	Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
IM15	Can the existing model be	calibrated at a 99.5% VaR over a one-year time horizon?
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES I	NO Not Applicable
	If NO places describe in a	$r_{\rm exp}$
	over a one-year time horiz	ummary the differences between your internal model and a 99.5% VaR on
	Comment	Description
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)
IM16	Some risks, such as basis captured in your model?	risk, comprise a blend of different risk components. Are such risks
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
1		



Comment	Description	
Insert text	Insert text	

Prerequisite 6: Use test and governance

IM17	Prerequisite 6: Use test an	id governance
	Building on ICP 17.16, IAI	Gs need:
	<i>"… to fully embed the in strategy and operational p</i>	nternal model, its methodologies and results, into the insurer's risk rocesses (the 'use test')";
	construction and use of the understanding of the mode structure". In particular, the	r management to have overall control of and responsibility for the e internal model for risk management purposes, and ensure sufficient el's construction at appropriate levels within the insurer's organisational ey would need to provide evidence that the insurer's Board and Senior the consequences of the internal model's outputs and limitations for risk decisions; and
	" to have adequate g model"	overnance and internal controls in place with respect to the internal
	Is Prerequisite 6 met?	
	Provide your response by	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
		NO Not Applicable summary, how the use test is met.
		Summary, now the use test is met.
	Use test	Description
	Business planning	Insert text
	Mergers and Acquisitions	



	Reinsurance	
	Investment	
	Underwriting	
	Risk Management	
	Capital Management (assessment and allocation)	
	Performance Management	
	(Add additional rows as nec	essary)
IM18	Please describe the model g	governance and internal controls at different stages of the model.
	Model stage	Description
	Model development	Insert text
	Model deployment	
	Model implementation	
	Model usage	
	Model validation	
	Model changes	
	Data quality	
	Use test checking	
	Roles and relationships of different governance	
	(Add additional rows as nec	essary)



	Prerequisite 7: Docum	nentation standards
IM19	Prerequisite 7: Documenta	tion standards
	internal model, including an The documentation should	AIG should " document the design, construction and governance of the n outline of the rationale and assumptions underlying its methodology". I be sufficient to demonstrate compliance with the regulatory validation nodels, including the statistical quality test, calibration test and use test.
	Provide your response by	/ placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe in	summary, how Prerequisite 7 is met.
	Documentation type	Description
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	cessary)
IM20	Please describe the model	documentation process at different stages of the model.
	Model stage	Description
	Model development	Insert text
	Model deployment	
	Model implementation	
	Model usage	
	Model validation	



Model changes	
Data quality	
Use test checking	
Roles and relationships of units responsible for documentation	

Prerequisite 8: Absence of cherry-picking (for partial models)

IM21	Prerequisite 8: Absence o	f cherry-picking
	appropriate as they can be requirements, but discoura	Guidance, "The IAIS supports the use of internal models where a more realistic, risk-responsive method of calculating capital ages any 'cherry-picking' practices by insurers".
	Is Prerequisite 8 met?	
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
	If YES, please describe in	summary, how Prerequisite 8 is met.
	Comment	Description
	Insert text	Insert text
	(Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)



Prerequisite 9: The resulting ICS capital requirement more appropriately reflects
the risk profile of the insurer (for partial models)

IM22	Prerequisite 9: The resultin the insurer	ng ICS capital requirement more appropriately reflects the risk profile of
	and why it considers that us requirements is more cons	5, "an insurer should be required to justify the limited scope of the model using partial internal modelling for determining regulatory capital sistent with the risk profile of the business than the standardised approach wes regulatory capital requirements".
	Provide your response b	y placing an 'x' in the relevant cell:
	YES	NO Not Applicable
		summary how Prerequisite 9 is met, describing the scope of the model nces against the ICS standard formula.
	Comment	Description
	Insert text	Insert text
	Add additional rows as ne	ecessary)

Prerequisite 10: Explain how the partial internal model and standard method's results can be integrated (for partial models)

defined or split along similar lines to the standard method, the target criteria are different, etc.).

IM23 Prerequisite 10: Explain how the partial internal model and standard method's results can be integrated It is essential that the integration of the partial internal model and the standard method results is being carried out prudently and consistently to derive the overall ICS capital requirement. To this end, the IAIG should provide evidence that the partial internal model and standard method results can be integrated. This prerequisite is particularly relevant for IAIGs whose internal model construction does not follow a similar design to the standard method (eg risks have not been

Is Prerequisite 10 met?



YES	NO	Not Applicable
⁺ YES, please pre vithin the standar		ts and explain how the internal model results can be integ
Comment	Desc	cription
Comment Insert text		cription rt text